

## APPENDIX C

**To:** Robson, Debra

**Cc:** sarah barrett

**Subject:** Re: FW: Sherlocks Bar, 17 Clarendon Road - Variation of Premises Licence

Hi Debra

Thank you for the reply, this is really helpful and yes I did receive your previous email from 3rd September.

It makes sense to me now that the letters are sent to him so he can (potentially) decide to withdraw the application... I hope he listens to his neighbours!

We are away until 3rd October so I'm relieved to learn that the Hearing won't be taking place this month and I'll look forward to reading the report once it has been compiled.

Thanks again for your response and apologies for bothering you with questions about this matter, we are obviously anxious to take part in an open and honest consultation.

Best Regards

Andy

On Fri, 16 Sept 2022 at 09:52, Robson, Debra

Hi Andy

Thank you for your email. Firstly I sent the email below to all those that made representations on September 2022. Can you confirm if you received this?

Representations can be sent to the licence holder before the representations by date has expired. The extended reps by date has actually gone against him as there are more representations, not in support of the application, that are still coming in. Also the licence holder may look at the representations and withdraw the application hence us sending them during the application period.

Once the representations by date has expired, which is tomorrow, a date will be arranged for the Hearing, my colleague will then prepare the report and all representations whether against or in support will be included in the report. You will be invited to the Hearing and can see the report which includes all the representations, whether against or support. The meeting will not be this month so don't worry about missing it.

Regards

Debbie Robson

Licensing

Dear Debra and Richard

I thought I'd drop you a quick message to raise a couple of additional points with respect to Sherlock's Bar late license application.

On Friday night (9th Sept) around 10pm, as is usual, there were a group of customers sitting outside Sherlock's Bar and onto the pavement. As previously described this is an all too regular occurrence, and the major reason we oppose their late licence application, however this group were shouting and behaving in a particularly loud and obnoxious manner, causing me to go outside to see what was happening and potentially capture some video footage (because we have been asked to collect evidence to support both our objection to the noise and also this application) and with a view to calling the Night Noise team. In addition, this group of customers were playing their own music from some kind of device or speaker, adding to the usual noise levels we have described.

As I walked past Sherlock's on the pavement, I was confronted by Mr Peckham who started to question loudly and aggressively what I was doing. He then went on to argue that he had 'read my letter', and that it was, verbatim, "all a load of sh\*t". He then triumphantly announced he had 'letters of support from local people'. All of this was in front of his customers who heard everything, provoking them to verbally abuse and shout at me as well.

I would like to add this unsettling incident to my original objection given it is another clear example showing Mr Peckham fails to manage his customers, that he has little regard for local residents' feelings and concerns, and as a result is derelict in his duty as a responsible licensee.

In addition, and as you may expect, this unpleasant event has provoked other thoughts and questions:

1. Mr Peckham appears to have had access to the letters of objection ahead of the closing date of his application. This is concerning, as potentially he has been able to mobilise support in direct response to what we and others have written and over an extended period, due the extra time his application is taking. Instinctively, this doesn't feel fair and wondered if you had a view on this & what the normal process is?
2. Ahead of the hearing on this matter, are we and others who have objected, able to see all letters, objecting or indeed those of support that Mr Peckham says he has submitted? Intuitively, it feels fair that all parties should see all statements. I'm unfamiliar with the process so can you help me understand a little better how the hearing works please?

As Sarah & I will be significantly impacted by the outcome of this application, naturally we wish to participate in the hearing. Do you know as yet when it is likely to be held so we can make sure we are available. Incidentally, we are away for a few days towards the end of the month, what would happen if the hearing were arranged whilst we are out of the country?

I look forward to your reply and I have copied Richard Maidment to this email for visibility and continuity.

Best Regards,

Andy Cook

**From:** Robson, Debra

**Sent:** 03 September 2022 17:42

**Subject:** Sherlocks Bar, 17 Clarendon Road - Variation of Premises Licence

Good afternoon

I am writing to advise you that due to an administration error with advertising the variation application in the local paper, not the fault of the licence holder, the representation by date has now been extended to 16 September 2022.

Due to representations being received, the application will be referred to a Hearing to be determined. The date will not be arranged until after the expiry date. You will then be emailed the Notice of Hearing.

Regards

Debbie Robson